

## Interview Summary

Application No.

09/702,724

Examiner

Tae Yoon

Applicant(s)

Sandor et al

Art Unit

1714

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1) Tae Yoon (3)  (2) Mr. Pitlick (4)  Date of Interview Sep 20, 2002  Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) X Personal (copy is given to 1) applicant 2) X applicant's representative)  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) X No. If yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: All  Identification of prior art discussed:  Of the record  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) X was not reached. h NA.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was rea any other comments:  The examiner sees that only limitation in the claim 1 is a Tg of the polymer, the final product. Thus, the polymer prior art having said Tg meets the invention. Dependent claims reciting molecular weight of the polymer with or we chain transfer agent has little probative value to the molecular weight of the final product. Note that said MW is a to the final product which is also confusing, and said MW seems to be related to the polymer phase.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the substance of the interview (if box is a Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MINCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action	,	on 1714	
Date of Interview	articipants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO		
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c  X Personal (copy is given to 1) applicant 2) X applicant's representative)  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e  X No. If yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: All  Identification of prior art discussed:  Of the record  Agreement with respect to the claims f  was reached. g  X was not reached. h  N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was real any other comments:  The examiner sees that only limitation in the claim 1 is a Tg of the polymer, the final product. Thus, the polymer prior art having said Tg meets the invention. Dependent claims reciting molecular weight of the polymer with or we chain transfer agent has little probative value to the molecular weight of the final product. Note that said MW is confined to the final product which is also confusing, and said MW seems to be related to the polymer phase.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims available, it available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is confusing the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MI	ae Yoon		
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) X Personal (copy is given to 1) applicant 2) X applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) X No. If yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: All Identification of prior art discussed:  Of the record  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) X was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was rea any other comments:  The examiner sees that only limitation in the claim 1 is a Tg of the polymer, the final product. Thus, the polymer prior art having said Tg meets the invention. Dependent claims reciting molecular weight of the polymer with or with a limit transfer agent has little probative value to the molecualit weight of the final product. Note that said MW is to the final product which is also confusing, and said MW seems to be related to the polymer phase.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims a available, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims a available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is of Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MI	Ir. Pitlick		
c) X Personal (copy is given to 1) applicant 2) X applicant's representative)  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) X No. If yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: All  Identification of prior art discussed:  Of the record  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) X was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was rea any other comments:  The examiner sees that only limitation in the claim 1 is a Tg of the polymer, the final product. Thus, the polymer prior art having said Tg meets the invention. Dependent claims reciting molecular weight of the polymer with or we chain transfer agent has little probative value to the molecualr weight of the final product. Note that said MW is a to the final product which is also confusing, and said MW seems to be related to the polymer phase.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims available, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is a Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MI	of Interview Sep 20, 2002		
Claim(s) discussed: All Identification of prior art discussed:  Of the record  Agreement with respect to the claims file was reached. giX was not reached. hile N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was rea any other comments:  The examiner sees that only limitation in the claim 1 is a Tg of the polymer, the final product. Thus, the polymer prior art having said Tg meets the invention. Dependent claims reciting molecular weight of the polymer with or we chain transfer agent has little probative value to the molecualr weight of the final product. Note that said MW is a to the final product which is also confusing, and said MW seems to be related to the polymer phase.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims available, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  i). It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is a Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION Miles.		representative]	
Identification of prior art discussed:  Of the record  Agreement with respect to the claims file was reached. gilx was not reached. hile N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was rea any other comments:  The examiner sees that only limitation in the claim 1 is a Tg of the polymer, the final product. Thus, the polymer prior art having said Tg meets the invention. Dependent claims reciting molecular weight of the polymer with or we chain transfer agent has little probative value to the molecualr weight of the final product. Note that said MW is a to the final product which is also confusing, and said MW seems to be related to the polymer phase.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims a available, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is a Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION Miles.	it shown or demonstration conducted: d) \( \text{\tince{\text{\te}\text{\tett{\texi}\text{\texit{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi}\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi}\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi	brief description:	
Identification of prior art discussed:  Of the record  Agreement with respect to the claims file was reached. gilx was not reached. hile N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was rea any other comments:  The examiner sees that only limitation in the claim 1 is a Tg of the polymer, the final product. Thus, the polymer prior art having said Tg meets the invention. Dependent claims reciting molecular weight of the polymer with or we chain transfer agent has little probative value to the molecualr weight of the final product. Note that said MW is a to the final product which is also confusing, and said MW seems to be related to the polymer phase.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims a available, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is a Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION Miles.			·
Agreement with respect to the claims file was reached. g)X was not reached. hile N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was real any other comments:  The examiner sees that only limitation in the claim 1 is a Tg of the polymer, the final product. Thus, the polymer prior art having said Tg meets the invention. Dependent claims reciting molecular weight of the polymer with or with transfer agent has little probative value to the molecular weight of the final product. Note that said MW is concluded to the final product which is also confusing, and said MW seems to be related to the polymer phase.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims a available, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is confused to the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION Miles.	(s) discussed: All		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was real any other comments:  The examiner sees that only limitation in the claim 1 is a Tg of the polymer, the final product. Thus, the polymer prior art having said Tg meets the invention. Dependent claims reciting molecular weight of the polymer with or we chain transfer agent has little probative value to the molecular weight of the final product. Note that said MW is a to the final product which is also confusing, and said MW seems to be related to the polymer phase.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims a allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims a available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is a Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MI			
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims a available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is of the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MI	other comments: examiner sees that only limitation in the claim 1 is a T art having said Tg meets the invention. Dependent co transfer agent has little probative value to the moleco	he final product. Thus, the polymer of cular weight of the polymer with or wi final product. Note that said MW is dii	f the ithout a
already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attac	able, if available, must be attached. Also, where no able, a summary thereof must be attached.)  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepas the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM JDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MP by been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM	ments that would render the claims all substance of the interview (if box is chart of the LAST OFFICE ACTION MU). If a reply to the last Office action how DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF	lowable is necked). ST as THE

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required